

the House gives us and work through that. That way there can be amendments that can be offered without points of order being issued to those. Otherwise, we are stuck offering amendments, points of order, then coming back with the House bill and doing the same thing again.

I see the distinguished Democratic leader on the floor and I certainly will not speak anymore.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Democratic leader is recognized.

Mr. DASCHLE. Mr. President, I see the Senator from New Mexico is ready to speak, as well, and I will be brief.

We had a caucus last night, and I don't know that I can recall ever having witnessed the depth of anger and deep-seated frustration expressed by all of our membership as a result of the scheduling decisions made with regard to the supplemental next week. It started with the decision that may have been necessary but made last week with regard to calling Ambassador Bremer to a hearing on Monday, the very day the hearing was scheduled in the afternoon. No Senators were notified ahead of time. Senators had very little time to prepare. Very few Senators could attend because they were out of town. Many expressed the view that this was orchestrated in a way to minimize the amount of scrutiny and attention Mr. Bremer would receive.

Throughout the week, similar experiences have been noted. And now we have a markup on Monday, when, again, Senators have made travel plans and the real prospect for a good attendance is minimal at best.

The frustration, the anger, the venting that I witnessed, and that most people felt, was as palpable as any caucus I can recall holding in the 9 years I have been leader. I have not had the opportunity—I just tried to call the majority leader, and I will talk to him in private in, hopefully, a couple minutes, but I would ask that we reconsider holding that markup on Monday. I would ask that in the name of comity, but also in the name of just ensuring that there be an opportunity to do this right, it be postponed until Tuesday. I think we would actually accelerate the prospects of completing the work.

I will guarantee you, there will be very little prospect for comity and accommodation as we go through this already very vexing and controversial supplemental request by the administration—in order for the Senate to complete its work, it is going to take cooperation. But when our caucus feels as jammed as they do, as shut out as they are, it will be very difficult to reach some degree of procedural accommodation. So I will tell you that this matter needs more thought. I would hope we could have more consultation. But I will say, unless some-

thing changes, this is going to be exceedingly difficult.

So I only put the Senate on notice. And, again, as I said, I attempted to call the majority leader prior to the time I came to the Senate floor to impress upon him privately the same message I am sharing with our colleagues in this public way. We will have more to say about it later. But this matter has generated far greater anxiety and anger than virtually anything I have seen in a long time.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader.

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I note the Senator from New Mexico wants to comment on the guest Chaplain and he has to be at a funeral.

We will talk privately. We have not had the opportunity to talk since their caucus, so we can handle our discussion privately and then come back to the floor.

Again, my goal is simply to address this request in a manner where both sides are heard. We have done our very best this week to schedule it in terms of the hearings, and we have talked further about that.

I do ask you to consider—because how much time we spend in hearings or in markups or on the floor does not matter to me as much as having people heard over a period of time—if the markup were delayed, will the Democratic side at least consider finishing this before we go out on our recess, given the fact that this is an emergency request from the President of the United States? We can, whenever it comes to the floor, start early, work late; if it is Monday morning, coming in, or Tuesday, or as soon as you would say, "Well, the markup is OK," so we could finish this before we go out on vacation or recess when we have this emergency request here. Can we finish it next week?

Mr. DASCHLE. Mr. President, again, I would respond to the distinguished majority leader in several ways.

First of all, the Ambassador, in speaking to our caucus on Tuesday, noted he does not need this money until January. Now obviously one could make the case that there really is not any rush to do this in September.

I would also say the House has not acted. Until the House acts—and they are not going to act until next week—many of my colleagues wonder what the rush is. If we are denied the right to offer amendments, there are those who could make a point of order that many of the amendments we will be offering involve legislating on appropriations because of the germaneness questions. And if that becomes an issue, then I doubt very much that there will be any way we can finish next week.

As I think I heard the distinguished assistant Democratic leader note, this bill will come back, and we will have to have a second debate when the House bill comes to the Senate if points of

order are raised on the amendments, denying us the opportunity to have this debate in the first place.

So I guess my answer to the distinguished majority leader would be threefold: No. 1, will we have an opportunity to offer the amendments without points of order being raised against them? No. 2, when will the markup actually occur? And if it does occur on Monday, I fear there could be some procedural delays involved in bringing the bill up. No. 3, we need to have a clear understanding of just when this legislation needs to be passed to accommodate the schedule Ambassador Bremer noted to our caucus. If we do not need to finish this until January, that is another matter. So some clarification with regard to the urgency of this issue also needs to be provided.

I certainly will work with the majority leader as we follow through with these questions.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader.

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, let me turn to the Senator from New Mexico. I know he has a comment on the guest Chaplain, as well as other comments.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, could I make a unanimous consent request prior to the Senator from New Mexico beginning?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Nevada.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the time used by the Senator from New Mexico not be counted against the morning business time of the Republicans, and that the full 30 minutes be granted to each side due to this late start.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from New Mexico is recognized.

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, first of all, might I say to my friends on the other side, I came with the intention of speaking about the guest Chaplain, who is from New Mexico. But I want to note we have an important event, a funeral for a 27-year-old son of one of our staffers from the Energy Committee at 10:15, so I will not be able to come back during that Republican time. So I would ask if I can—

Mr. REID. That was my request. You have it right now.

Mr. DOMENICI. I wonder if I could just give my speech on the guest Chaplain and also my other comments now.

Mr. REID. That is what I asked in my unanimous consent request.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, the Senator is recognized.

COMMENDING THE PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, first, might I say, as I note your presence in the chair—and you are also the chairman of the Appropriations Committee, about which we are talking this morning—I compliment you. I have not seen